STATE OF INDIANA 🕎 )	IN THE HAMILTON CIRCUIT/SUPERIOR COURT									
COUNTY OF HAMILTON )	CAUSE NO	_C9C01.E031_1PL1365_								
STATE OF INDIANA,	)									
Plaintiff,	)									
v.	)									
TERRY CHOATE,	)									
individually and doing business as	)									
ALL CITY METAL CRAFT, INC.,	and )									
ALL CITY METAL CRAFT, INC.	)									
	)									
Defendants.	)									

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, RESTITUTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND COSTS

The State of Indiana, by Attorney General Steve Carter, and Deputy Attorney General Terry Tolliver, in accordance with Rule 3 of the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure, petitions the court pursuant to the Indiana Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, Indiana Code §24-5-0.5-1, et seq., and the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code §24-5-11-1, et seq., for injunctive relief, consumer restitution, civil penalties, investigative costs, and other relief

### **PARTIES**

- 1. The Plaintiff, State of Indiana is authorized to bring this action and to seek injunctive and other statutory relief pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-4(c) and Ind. Code §24-5-11-14.
- 2. The Defendant, Terry Choate, at all times relevant to this complaint **was** owner of and did business as All City Metal Craft ("All City"), with a principal place of business located in Marion County at 1246 Deloss Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46203.
- 3. The Defendant, All City Metal Craft, Inc., was an Indiana Corporation, with a principal place of business located in Marion County at 1246 Deloss Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46203. On or about October 11,2001, the Indiana Secretary of State administratively

dissolved the corporation. Upon information and belief, Defendant Choate was an officer of the Defendant All City Metal Craft, Inc.

4. At all relevant times, the Defendants were engaged in or solicited home improvement contracts with residents of Hamilton County,

#### **FACTS**

- 5. At least since November 21, 1997, the Defendants have acted as home improvement suppliers by engaging in or soliciting home improvement contracts.
- 6. On or about June 11,2002, the State of Indiana issued a warning letter to the Defendants advising them of the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act and the contract deficiencies that needed to be corrected. Specifically, the letter stated that the Defendants' failed to include the following information in their contracts:
  - failed to state the telephone number of the consumer and the names of any agent to whom consumer problems and inquiries can be directed; Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(2).
  - (b) failed to state the date the home improvement contract was submitted to the consumer, contrary to Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(3);
  - failed to state the approximate starting and completion dates of the home improvement, contrary to Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(6);
  - (d) failed to state any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date, contrary to Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(7); and
  - (e) failed to include a legible printed or a typed version of the consumer and supplier's name placed directly after or below the signature, contrary to Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(9).
- 7. On or around September 7,2002, Terry Choate, acting on behalf of the Defendants, entered into a home improvement contract with Charles Simpson ("Simpson") at Simpson's Hamilton County home. In the contract, the Defendants falsely represented that they would install an ornamental iron fence around the perimeter of Simpson's back yard and a tenfoot gate at Simpson's home at a price of Six Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Seven Dollars and

Fifty Cents (\$6,127.50). Simpson paid Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) to the Defendants as a down payment. A true and accurate copy of the contract is attached, incorporated by reference, and marked Exhibit "A."

- 8. The Defendants failed to provide a completed home improvement contract to Simpson before Simpson signed the contract.
- 9. The Defendants failed to include the following information in the home improvement contract entered into with Simpson:
  - (a) the names of any agent to whom consumer problems and inquiries can be directed;
  - (b) the date the home improvement contract was submitted to the consumer;
  - (c) any time limitation on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
  - (d) approximate starting and completion dates of the home improvements;
  - (e) statement of any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date;
  - (f) the home improvement contract price;
  - (g) a legible printed or typed version of consumer and supplier's name placed directly after or below the signature;
  - (h) a statement of any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date.

- 10. At the time the home improvement contract was entered into, the Defendants falsely represented in the Simpson contract that all work would be completed "4 wks from start." It is presumed from this representation that the Defendants would begin and complete the work within a reasonable period of time.
- 11. While the Defendants did start the contracted work, the Defendants have failed to stay in contact with Simpson to advise him of their progress. Furthermore, although the Defendants have stated to Simpson that they would finish the work, they have yet to either return to complete the work, or to issue a refund to Simpson.

## COUNT I - VIOLATIONS OF THE HOME IMPROVEMENT CONTRACTS ACT

- 12. The transaction referred to in paragraph 7 is a home improvement contract as defined by Ind. Code §24-5-11-4.
- 13. The Defendants are home improvement suppliers as defined by Ind. Code §24-5-11-6.
- 14. By failing to provide completed home improvement contract to Simpson before the consumer signed the contract, Defendant violated the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code §24-5-11-10.
- **15.** By failing to include the information referred to in paragraph 9 in the home improvement contract entered into with **Simpson**, Defendant violated the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act, Ind. Code §24-5-11-10.

# **COUNT II - VIOLATIONS OF THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT**

16. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs I through 15 above.

- 17. The transaction referred to in paragraph 4 above is a "consumer transaction" as defined by Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-2(a)(1).
  - **18.** The Defendants are "suppliers" as defined by Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-2(a)(3).
- 19. The violations of the Indiana Home Improvement Contracts Act referred to in paragraph 9 constitute deceptive acts.
- 20. By representing to Simpson that the home improvement work would be completed within a specified or a reasonable period of time as set forth in paragraph 10, when the Defendants knew or should have known the work would not be completed, the Defendants violated Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-3(a)(10).
- 21. The misrepresentations and deceptive acts set forth above will continue and will cause irreparable injury, unless the Defendants are enjoined from engaging in further conduct that violates Ind. Code §24-5-11-1 *etseq*. and Ind. Code 624-5-0.5-1, *et seq*.

# COUNT III - KNOWING AND INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS ( THE DECEPTIVE CONSUMER SALES ACT

- 22. The Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
- 23. The misrepresentation and deceptive act set forth in paragraph 9 was knowingly committed by the Defendants to deceive **Simpson**.

## **RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, requests the Court enter judgment against the Defendants, Terry Choate, individually and doing business as All City Metal Craft, and All City Metal Craft, Inc., for a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendants from:

- in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to provide
  to the consumer a completed home improvement contract which includes at a
  minimum the following:
  - (1) The name of the consumer and the address of the residential property that is the subject of the home improvement;
  - (2) The name and address of the home improvement supplier and each of the telephone numbers and names of any agent to whom consumer problems and inquiries can be directed;
  - (3) The date the home improvement contract was submitted to the consumer and any time limitation on the consumer's acceptance of the home improvement contract;
  - (4) A reasonably detailed description of the proposed home improvements;
  - (5) If the description required by Ind. Code §24-5-11-10(a)(4) does not include the specifications for the home improvement, a statement that the specifications will be provided to the consumer before conimencing any work and that the home improvement contract is subject to the consumer's separate written and dated approval of the specifications;
  - (6) The approximate starting and completion date of the home improvements;
  - (7) **A** statement of any contingencies that would materially change the approximate completion date;
  - (8) The home improvement contract price; and

- (9) Signature lines for the home improvement supplier or the supplier's agent and for each consumer who is to be a party to the home improvement contract with a legible printed or typed version of that person's name placed directly after or below the signature;
- b. in the course of entering into home improvement transactions, failing to provide a completed home improvement contract to the consumer before it is signed by the consumer;
- c. representing, expressly or by implication, that the home improvement contracts entered into by the Defendants have sponsorship, approval, performance, characteristics, accessories, uses, or benefits it does not have, which the Defendants know or should reasonably know they do not have; and
- d. representing, expressly or by implication, that the Defendants are able to start or complete a home improvement within a stated period of time, or when no time period is stated, within a reasonable time, when the Defendants know or should reasonably know they cannot.

AND WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, State of Indiana, further requests the Court enter judgment against the Defendants, Terry Choate, individually and doing business as All City Metal Craft, and All City Metal Craft, Inc., for the following relief:

- a. cancellation of the Defendants' contracts pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-4(d);
- b. consumer restitution for Charles Simpson pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-4(c)(2) in an amount to be determined at trial;

- c. costs pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-4(c)(3), awarding the Office of the Attorney General its reasonable expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this action;
- d. on Count III of the Plaintiffs Complaint, civil penalties pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-4(g) for the Defendants' knowing violations of the Deceptive
   Consumer Sales Act, in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) per violation, payable to the State of Indiana;
- e. on Count III of the Plaintiffs Complaint, civil penalties pursuant to Ind. Code §24-5-0.5-8 for the Defendants' intentional violations of the Deceptive Consumer Sales Act, in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) per violation, payable to the State of Indiana; and
- f. all other just and proper relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Steve Carter Indiana Attorney General Atty. No. 4150-64

By:

Terry Tolliver

Deputy Attorney General Atty. No. 22556-49

Office of Attorney General Indiana Government Center South 302 W. Washington Street, 5th Floor Indianapolis, IN 46204

Telephone: (317) 233-3300

				ALL (	ndnê	Jul	an a	oli 1			17				2 - 3 1			Co	ntr.		
	jeje,	, Kony	(4) Sex 2		(317 (317	) 78	2.93					J	ob #:								
Cities Solves		P								_	:		Vork Iome						. 4.	- 185 · J.	
Mark .								A Company		<u>.</u>	•		ax #				<del></del>		<del></del>	ن يم	
ibilections:	ili Mariana Maria	Footage	Style	Unto	NA.	k2:3	::34	-		<del></del>	<del></del>	T	WP	CO:		<del></del>		. ' <del>'</del>	<u></u>		
	leight	Contract	28al		(14.7°).	D	iágr	<b>an</b>		i. i.				- n <del>- 1</del> -		۔ ؤ ۔۔۔	-				
3.3.7	8	<i>Do</i> .	by Tals	BLC		,,						ر-	37				-		1		
Panets Size	7.248	/Z. blain	Biased							1 1	: }			+	-						
	1" Chay	•	- <del></del>					*													1
	1200		Biased	ا ا و	+	3						   		: 		: ,,,				-	
Size Size		Number Space _	Top.										#1714			سود. سني ، ـ					
Meids Pun	ich J	. Face	Beit.	: ر <del>امانیت بردید</del>		<u> </u>				<u>-</u>						:					
Oliver -	<del></del>	·	<u> </u>					1	1						4			2		. (*) . (*)	
6						-					7	10	229	æ			-				
Tonie Sizo	Spacing	Concrete	1,040¢	Flange			,								· .						
	ANA I										- 1		,		<u></u>			) باند	<del>-</del>		
AL.	<del></del>					16	5	10		16	10	Ha	7	2	A V	<b>5</b>	7	L	2/	<b>1</b>	9
CAST COLUMN	Size De De	1,atch	Lock	Hingo		12		K.			أنست	7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-		-
																	+		•		-
						]				: <u>}                                    </u>					)			i			
Officer Details:	<del></del>		<del></del>	·				<del></del>	·	<del></del>	<del></del>			<del></del> , .	. —	··· , ,	- 100		<b></b>		-
Ped St.		75 P		\$3.														_			
Contract Amount Seles Fax	5 6216	<u> </u>	<i></i>	Pri	ces dric				]			Toj	o del	ivered	apoet Joseph	rected	01	or al	bout		•
2500 802				void unless signed within 60 days of date of contract.					from date of acceptance DELIVERY AND OR INSTALLATION TIME IS ESTIMATED  AND NOT GUARANTEED.												
Balance Duc	1	<u>ک یا یا ک</u>	Tagetti			Ap emerica														1 4-	
The prejunt that has cont The prejunt Contact and Third to nearly a All C	CEPTANCE: As the Purchaser under this contract. I healty agree to pay the contract Answart set firth above for the ma- disposability that this countest we Leony Spot Contract on the work set firth 2,500. Any thoughts on attention resulting in a translation Contract and Signed by both Procleves and All City Metal Colf, before the Change Order Work is completed. I Just be unsuffed at All Car Meet Contractors are all City Institutes and set that that there is no contract that a								getige Sakkis	is as it idea fi	restand not the	ו אונני - ו - גונט -	st priedli nd water	i ucand Cara	htigh a Litera	is Milit delecat	actions white	a or de	CANADA CLACASA	2 (D 3	
All CITO METAL CR.	veranent,						<i>-</i>														
OND		No.	XHIBIT	5	8-02					- mil Jan : 8/20/00											•
. וא אישוניםש מ		A 100 May 100			: 'UN =NUH4										PKON - Panasonic r						

×4.